arXiv:1203.1419v2 [math.AP] 6 Dec 2012

A Global Uniqueness on Spherically Stratified Media with Interior Transmission Eigenvalues

Lung-Hui Chen[1]

February 25, 2013

Abstract

Given a set of transmission eigenvalues, its density function inversely determines the form of the indicator function. This is one application of the Cartwright's theory in inverse problems. We use the indicator function inversely to determine the functional determinant $d(z)$. Uniqueness on the refraction index is equivalent to the uniqueness of the entire function.

MSC:35P25/35R30/34B24/.
Keywords: transmission eigenvalues/Cartwright's theory/indicator function /Carlson's theorem.

1 Preliminaries and the Main Result

In this paper, we consider the following interior transmission problem.

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w + k^2 n(x) w = 0, & \text{in } B; \\ \Delta v + k^2 v = 0, & \text{in } B; \\ w = v, & \text{on } \partial B; \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}, & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $B := \{|x| \leq 1,\, x \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$, $w, v \in L^2(B)$, $w - v \in H_0^2(B)$, $k \in \mathbb{C}$. We consider the spherical perturbation for (1.1) by setting $n(x) = n(r) > 0$, $\forall r \in [0, 1]$, and $n(r) \in \mathcal{C}^2(0, 1)$. The interior transmission eigenvalues play a role in the inverse scattering theory both in numerical computation and in theoretical purpose. See Colton and Kress [5] and Colton, Päivärinta and Sylvester [4] for the historic and theoretical context. In this note, we propose to answer certain kind of uniqueness problem with interior transmission eigenvalues following the work of Aktosun, Gintides and Papanicolaou [1] and McLaughlin and Polyakov [9]. A global uniqueness on $n(r)$ assuming $\int_0^1 \sqrt{n(\rho)}d\rho < 1$ is established in Aktosun, Gintides and Papanicolaou [1]. In [9], some uniqueness result on the refraction index is established when assuming some a priori knowledge on the index.

Let us consider the solutions of (1.1) of the following form:

$$v(r) = c_1 j_0(kr); \tag{1.2}$$

$$w(r) = c_2 \frac{y(r)}{r}, \tag{1.3}$$

where j_0 is a spherical Bessel function of order zero and $y(r)$ is a solution of

$$y'' + k^2 n(r) y = 0,\ 0 < r < 1; \tag{1.4}$$

$$y(0) = 0;\ y'(0) = 1. \tag{1.5}$$

$(v(r), w(r))$ is a pair of nontrivial solution of (1.2) provided there is a set of nontrivial solution (c_1, c_2) such that

$$c_2 y(1) - c_1 j_0(k) = 0; \tag{1.6}$$

$$c_2 \{\frac{y(r)}{r}\}'|_{r=1} - c_1 k j_0'(k) = 0. \tag{1.7}$$

---

[1] Department of Mathematics, National Chung Cheng University, 168 University Rd. Min-Hsiung, Chia-Yi County 621, Taiwan. Email: mr.lunghuichen@gmail.com; lhchen@math.ccu.edu.tw. Fax: 886-5-2720497.

Therefore, the existence of the constants c_1, c_2, not both zero, such that (1.2) and (1.3) is a set of nontrivial solution of (1.1) is provided by

$$d(k) := \det \begin{pmatrix} y(1) & -j_0(k) \\ \{\frac{y(r)}{r}\}'|_{r=1} & -kj_0'(k) \end{pmatrix} = 0. \tag{1.8}$$

Hence,

$$d(k) = \frac{\sin k}{k} y'(1;k) - (\cos k) y(1;k). \tag{1.9}$$

It is well-known that, $d(k)$ is bounded on the real line. Hence, Cartwright's theory in complex analysis suggests that it is an entire function of completely regular growth. We will discuss this respect in the next section.

Referring to [1], there are the other two equivalent systems related to (1.1). The interior transmission eigenvalues of (1.1) coincide with the eigenvalues of the nonstandard boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Phi'' + k^2 n(r)\Phi = 0, & 0 < r < 1; \\ \Phi(0) = 0, & \frac{\sin k}{k}\Phi'(1) - \cos k\Phi(1) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

Moreover, (1.10) is equivalent to the following system.

$$\begin{cases} \Phi'' + k^2 n(r)\Phi = 0, & 0 < r < 1; \\ \Phi_0'' + k^2\Phi_0 = 0, & 0 < r < 1; \\ \Phi(0) = \Phi_0(0), & \Phi(1) = \Phi_0(1),\ \Phi'(1) = \Phi_0'(1). \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

The zeros of the functional determinant $d(k)$ are then the eigenvalues of (1.10). In particular, if k_j is an eigenvalue of (1.10), then $d(k_j) = 0$; if $d(k_j) = 0$, then $y(r;k_j)$ is an eigenfunction of (1.10) with eigenvalue k_j. In that case, $y(1;k_j)$ meets with $\Phi_0(1)$ by the third line of (1.11). Let us collect the zeros of $d(k)$ to be k_j.

$$K := \{k_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}. \tag{1.12}$$

On the other hand, we consider the Liouville transformation of $y(r) = y(r;k)$:

$$z(\xi) := [n(r)]^{\frac{1}{4}} y(r), \text{ where } \xi := \int_0^r [n(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{2}} d\rho. \tag{1.13}$$

In particular, we define

$$\delta := \int_0^1 [n(\rho)]^{\frac{1}{2}} d\rho \tag{1.14}$$

In this case, (1.13) becomes

$$\begin{cases} z'' + [k^2 - p(\xi)]z = 0,\ 0 < \xi < \delta; \\ z(0) = 0;\ z'(0) = [n(0)]^{-\frac{1}{4}}. \end{cases} \tag{1.15}$$

where

$$p(\xi) := \frac{n''(r)}{4[n(r)]^2} - \frac{5}{16}\frac{[n'(r)]^2}{[n(r)]^3}. \tag{1.16}$$

From Pöschel and Trubowitz [11, p.16], we have the following asymptotics.

$$z(\xi;k) = \frac{\sin k\xi}{k} - \frac{\cos k\xi}{2k^2}Q(\xi) + \frac{\sin k\xi}{4k^3}[p(\xi) + p(0) - \frac{1}{2}Q^2(\xi)] + O(\frac{\exp[|\Im k|\xi]}{k^4}), \tag{1.17}$$

where $Q(\xi) = \int_0^\xi p(\xi)d\xi$. Similarly,

$$z'(\xi;k) = \cos k\xi + \frac{\sin k\xi}{2k}Q(\xi) + \frac{\cos k\xi}{4k^2}[p(\xi) - p(0) - \frac{1}{2}Q^2(\xi)] + O(\frac{\exp[|\Im k|\xi]}{k^3}). \tag{1.18}$$

Before applying such asymptotics, we add a multiple $[n(0)]^{\frac{1}{4}}$ to the solutions. We have to normalize the solution according to the boundary condition in (1.15).

The following is the main theorem in this paper.

Theorem 1.1 *Let the functional determinant* $d^i(k)$ *be defined as in (1.8) with respect to refraction index* $n^i(r)$, $i = 1, 2$. *We define*

$$\Sigma_\epsilon := \{k \in \mathbb{C} | -\epsilon \leq \arg k \leq \epsilon,\ \pi - \epsilon \leq \arg k \leq \pi + \epsilon\},\ \forall \epsilon > 0. \tag{1.19}$$

If the zeros of $d^1(k)$ *and* $d^2(k)$ *coincide in* Σ_ϵ *and*

$$\begin{aligned} n^1(0) &= n^2(0); && (1.20)\\ n^1(1) &= n^2(1); && (1.21)\\ (n^1)'(1) &= (n^2)'(1), && (1.22) \end{aligned}$$

then $d^1(k) \equiv d^2(k)$ *and* $n^1(r) \equiv n^2(r)$.

There are only three ingredients in this paper. Firstly, we derive from (1.9) that type of $d(k)$ is $1 + \delta$ under order 1. The zeros of the $d(k)$ is mainly described by this term. Then, Cartwright's theory tells one how its zeros are distributed by its order, type and indicator function. Secondly, Carlson's theorem says one nontrivial entire function can not have more zeros than its type. Hence, we prove the uniqueness for our functional determinant and the solutions. Thirdly, we apply the results from inverse Sturm-Liouville theorem to show the uniqueness on the scatterer.

2 Some Entire Function Theory and Basic Estimates

We review some complex analysis from the Levin's book [7, 8] and Cartwright's theory [2, 3].

Definition 2.1 *Let* $f(z)$ *be an entire function. Let*

$$M_f(r) := \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|.$$

An entire function of $f(z)$ *is said to be a function of finite order if there exists a positive constant* k *such that the inequality*

$$M_f(r) < e^{r^k} \tag{2.1}$$

is valid for all sufficiently large values of r. *The greatest lower bound of such numbers* k *is called the order of the entire function* $f(z)$. *By the type* σ *of an entire function* $f(z)$ *of order* ρ, *we mean the greatest lower bound of positive number* A *for which asymptotically we have*

$$M_f(r) < e^{Ar^\rho}. \tag{2.2}$$

That is

$$\sigma := \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\ln M_f(r)}{r^\rho}. \tag{2.3}$$

If $0 < \sigma < \infty$, *then we say* $f(z)$ *is of normal type or mean type.*

We also see that

$$e^{(\sigma - \epsilon) r^\rho} \underset{\mathrm{n}}{<} M_f(r) \underset{\mathrm{as}}{<} e^{(\sigma + \epsilon) r^\rho}, \tag{2.4}$$

where the first inequality holds for some sequence going to infinity and the second one holds asymptotically.

Definition 2.2 *If an entire function* $f(z)$ *is of order one and of normal type, then we say it is an entire function of exponential type* σ.

Definition 2.3 *Let* $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\rho(r) : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$. *We say* $\rho(r)$ *is a proximate order to* ρ *if*

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \rho(r) = \rho \geq 0;\ \lim_{r \to \infty} r\rho'(r) \ln r = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Definition 2.4 *Let $f(z)$ be an integral function of finite order in the angle $[\theta_1, \theta_2]$. We call the following quantity as the generalized indicator of the function $f(z)$.*

$$h_f(\theta) := \limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{\ln|f(re^{i\theta})|}{r^{\rho(r)}},\ \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\rho(r)$ is some proximate order.

The order and the type of an integral function in an angle can be defined similarly. The connection between the indicator $h_f(\theta)$ and its type σ is specified by the following theorem.

Lemma 2.5 (Levin [7], p.72) *The maximum value of the indicator $h_f(\theta)$ of the function $f(z)$ on the interval $\alpha \leq \theta \leq \beta$ is equal to the type σ_f of this function inside the angle $\alpha \leq \arg z \leq \beta$.*

Lemma 2.6 *Let α and β be real constants.*

$$h_{\sin\{\alpha z+\beta\}}(\theta) = |\alpha \sin\theta|. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof We observe that

$$|\sin\alpha z + \beta|^2 = \sinh^2\{\alpha y\} + \sin^2\{\alpha x + \beta\}. \tag{2.8}$$

Applying (2.6), we prove the lemma. □

Definition 2.7 *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of order $\rho(r)$. We use $n(f, \alpha, \beta, r)$ to denote the number of the zeros of $f(x)$ inside angle $[\alpha, \beta]$ and $|z| \leq r$; we define the density function*

$$\Delta_f(\alpha, \beta) := \limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{n(f, \alpha, \beta, r)}{r^{\rho(r)}}, \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\Delta(\beta) := \Delta(\alpha_0, \beta), \tag{2.10}$$

with fixed $\alpha_0 \notin E$ with E as an at most countable set.

For the entire function of completely regular growth, we need not worry too much. Now two more inequalities for indicator functions:

Lemma 2.8 *Let f, g be two entire functions. Then, the following two inequalities hold.*

$$h_{fg}(\theta) = h_f(\theta) + h_g(\theta),\ \textit{if one limit exists}; \tag{2.11}$$

$$h_{f+g}(\theta) \leq \max_\theta\{h_f(\theta), h_g(\theta)\}, \tag{2.12}$$

where if the indicator of the two summands are not equal at some θ_0, then the equality holds in (2.12).

Proof We can find these in [7, p.51]. □

Definition 2.9 *The following quantity is called the width of the indicator diagram of entire function f:*

$$d := h_f(\frac{\pi}{2}) + h_f(-\frac{\pi}{2}). \tag{2.13}$$

The distribution on the zeros of an entire function is described by the following Cartwright's theorem [2, 3, 7, 8]. The following statement is from Levin [7, Ch.5].

Theorem 2.10 (Cartwright) *If an entire function of exponential type satisfies one of the following conditions:*

$$\textit{the integral} \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln|f(x)f(-x)|}{1+x^2}dx \textit{ exists, and } h_f(0) = h_f(\pi) = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\textit{the integral} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\ln|f(x)|}{1+x^2}dx < \infty. \tag{2.15}$$

$$\textit{the integral} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\ln^+|f(x)|}{1+x^2}dx \textit{ exists.} \tag{2.16}$$

$$|f(x)| \textit{ is bounded on the real axis.} \tag{2.17}$$

$$|f(x)| \in \mathcal{L}^p(-\infty, \infty), \tag{2.18}$$

then

1. *$f(z)$ is of class A and is of completely regular growth and its indicator diagram is an interval on the imaginary axis;*
2. *all of the zeros of the function $f(z)$, except possibly those of a set of zero density, lie inside arbitrarily small angles $|\arg z| < \epsilon$ and $|\arg z - \pi| < \epsilon$, where the density*

$$\Delta_j = \lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{n_j(r)}{r},\ j = 1, 2, \tag{2.19}$$

   of the set of zeros within each of these angles is equal to $\frac{d}{2\pi}$, where d is the width of the indicator diagram. $n_j(r)$, $j = 1, 2$, here is understood as the number of the zeros that fall in the wedge $|\arg z| < \epsilon$ and $|\arg z - \pi| < \epsilon$ respectively. Outside these two wedges, the density function is zero. Furthermore, the limit $\delta = \lim_{r\to\infty} \delta(r)$ exists, where

$$\delta(r) := \sum_{\{|a_k|<r\}} \frac{1}{a_k}; \tag{2.20}$$

3. *the function $f(z)$ can be represented in the form*

$$f(z) = cz^m e^{iCz} \lim_{r\to\infty} \prod_{\{|a_k|<r\}} (1 - \frac{z}{a_k}), \tag{2.21}$$

   where c, m, B are constants and C is real;

4. *the indicator function of f $h_f(\theta)$ can be written as $c|\sin\theta|$ for some constant c.*

Corollary 2.11 *The functional determinant $d(k)$ is of completely regular growth and satisfies all the estimates in (2.19), (2.20) and (2.21).*

Proof Firstly, $y(r; k)$ is bounded over the real line by transformation (1.13). Moreover,

$$z_\xi(\xi; k) = \frac{1}{4}[n(r)]^{-\frac{3}{4}} r_\xi y(r; k) + [n(r)]^{\frac{1}{4}} y_r(r; k) r_\xi.$$

$z_\xi(\xi; k)$ and $y(r; k)$ are bounded over real axis, so is the $y_r(r; k)$. By the definition (1.9), we see $d(k)$ is bounded over the real axis. Hence, the Cartwright's theory applies. □

Proposition 2.12 *Let $k = |k|e^{i\theta}$. The following identity holds.*

$$h_{d(k)}(\theta) = (1 + \delta)|\sin\theta|. \tag{2.22}$$

Proof We derive from (1.9) that

$$d(k) = (\cos k)y(1; k)[\frac{1}{k}(\tan k)\frac{y_r(1; k)}{y(1; k)} - 1]. \tag{2.23}$$

We see $\tan k$ is bounded outside the real axis. Moreover, outside Σ_ϵ, we have

$$y(r; k) = \frac{1}{[n(0)n(r)]^{\frac{1}{4}} k}[\sin(k\xi(r))][1 + O(\frac{1}{k})]; \tag{2.24}$$

$$y_r(r; k) = [n(r)/n(0)]^{\frac{1}{4}}[\cos(k\xi(r))][1 + O(\frac{1}{k})] \tag{2.25}$$

We refer this to [1].

Now we use (2.11), we have

$$h_d(\pm\frac{\pi}{2}) = h_{\cos k}(\pm\frac{\pi}{2}) + h_{y(1;k)}(\pm\frac{\pi}{2}) = 1 + \delta. \tag{2.26}$$

Using the fourth statement in Theorem 2.10. We obtain

$$h_d(\theta) = (1 + \delta)|\sin\theta|. \tag{2.27}$$

□

Most of zeros of an entire function bounded in $0i + \mathbb{R}$ are inside Λ_ϵ.

3 A Proof

Now we apply the Cartwright's theory to $d(k)$ as described by Theorem 2.10. Let $d^i(k)$ be the functional determinant corresponding to refraction index $n^i(r)$, $i = 1, 2$. We define $\delta^i = \int_0^1 n^i(\rho)d\rho$. The theorem assumption says the zeros of $d^1(k)$ and $d^2(k)$ coincides in a small wedge along real axis Σ_ϵ. Let Δ_1^i be the density of $d^i(k)$ in the small wedge along positive real axis; Δ_2^i along the negative real axis. Therefore, Theorem 2.10 and Corollary 2.11 combine to give

$$\Delta_1^1 = \Delta_1^2;\ \Delta_2^1 = \Delta_2^2. \tag{3.1}$$

In particular,

$$\frac{d^1}{2\pi} = \frac{d^2}{2\pi}, \tag{3.2}$$

where d^i, the width of the indicator diagram of $d^i(k)$, equals to

$$h_{d^i(k)}(\frac{\pi}{2}) + h_{d^i(k)}(-\frac{\pi}{2}) = 2(1+\delta), \tag{3.3}$$

where the quantity is specified by (2.22).

As a by-product, we have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $d(k)$ be the functional defined in (1.9) with $n(1) \neq 1$. Then the zeros of $d(k)$ satisfy the following qualitative asymptotics.*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{n_j(r)}{r} = \frac{1+\delta}{\pi}, \tag{3.4}$$

where $j = 1, 2$ represents the two small wedges along $0i + \mathbb{R}$.

Most importantly, now we have

$$\delta^1 = \delta^2. \tag{3.5}$$

Let us use a generalized Carlson's theorem from Levin [7, p.190].

Theorem 3.2 *Let $F(z)$ be holomorphic and at most of normal type with respect to the proximate order $\rho(r)$ in the angle $\alpha \leq \arg z \leq \alpha + \pi/\rho$ and vanish on a set $N := \{a_k\}$ in this angle, with angular density $\Delta_N(\psi)$. Let*

$$H_N(\theta) := \pi \int_\alpha^{\alpha+\pi/\rho} \sin|\psi - \theta| d\Delta_N(\psi),$$

when ρ is integral. Then, if $F(z)$ is not identically zero,

$$h_F(\alpha) + h_F(\alpha + \pi/\rho) \geq H_N(\alpha) + H_N(\alpha + \pi/\rho). \tag{3.6}$$

As inspired by Aktosun, Gintides and Papanicolaou [1], McLaughlin and Polyakov [9], we seek to identify $n(r)$ by inverse Sturm-Liouville theorem. Now we are tying to show $z^1(1;k) \equiv z^2(1;k)$ and $(z^1)'(1;k) \equiv (z^2)'(1;k)$. First of all, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} G(k) &:= [n^1(0)]^{\frac{1}{4}}[z^1(\delta^1;k) - z^2(\delta^1;k)] \qquad (3.7)\\ &= O(\exp|\Im k\delta^1|),\ k \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad (3.8)\end{aligned}$$

where the last asymptotics is from (1.17). We want to apply Carlson's theorem to function $G(z)$ with $\rho \equiv 1$, $\alpha = -\frac{\pi}{2}$. Looking at $G(z)$ firstly, it has a set of zeros of density $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = \frac{1+\delta}{\pi}$ which is supported only at $\psi = 0, \pi$. In our case, $\Delta(\psi) = \frac{1+\delta}{\pi}$, when $\psi = 0, \pi$; zero otherwise by Cartwright's theorem. We also consider $N = K$, the set of common zeros of $d^1(z), d^2(z)$.

Moreover, the indicator function of $G(k)$ is obtained by (3.7). We see

$$h_G(\theta) \leq \delta^1|\sin\theta|. \tag{3.9}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & G(k_j) \\ = \ & [n^1(0)n^1(1)]^{\frac{1}{4}} y^1(1;k_j) - [n^1(0)n^1(1)]^{\frac{1}{4}} y^2(1;k_j) \\ = \ & [n^1(0)n^1(1)]^{\frac{1}{4}} \Phi_0(1;k_j) - [n^1(0)n^1(1)]^{\frac{1}{4}} \Phi_0(1;k_j) \\ = \ & 0,\ k_j \in K. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

When k_j is a common zero of $d^i(k)$, $y^i(1;k_j)$ is an eigenfunction with eigenvalue k_j of (1.10). Hence, (1.11) is satisfied equivalently. In particular, $y^1(1;k_j)$, $y^2(1;k_j)$ must meet at boundary which is $r = 1$. We see the density function for K is $\frac{1+\delta^1}{\pi}$ by (3.4). Hence,

$$H_K(-\frac{\pi}{2}) + H_K(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 2(1+\delta^1). \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.2, (3.9) and (3.11) imply

$$G(k) \equiv 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Hence, we have

$$z^1(\delta^1, k) \equiv z^2(\delta^2, k),\ \forall k \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.13}$$

In particular, $y^1(1;k) \equiv y^2(1;k)$ and $d^1(k) \equiv d^2(k)$.

Repeating the same argument to

$$J(k) := (z^1)'(\delta^1;k) - (z^2)'(\delta^1;k), \tag{3.14}$$

we have from (1.18) that

$$J(k) = O(\exp|\Im k\delta^1|). \tag{3.15}$$

Therefore,

$$h_J(\theta) \le \delta^1 |\sin\theta|. \tag{3.16}$$

In addition, given (1.21) and (1.22),

$$J(k_j) = 0,\ k_j \in K. \tag{3.17}$$

Hence,

$$H_J(-\frac{\pi}{2}) + H_J(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 2(1+\delta^1). \tag{3.18}$$

Then, Theorem 3.2 implies that $J(k) \equiv 0$. Hence, we have

$$(z^1)'(\delta^1;k) \equiv (z^2)'(\delta^1;k). \tag{3.19}$$

They have the same zero set. Moreover, the zeros of $z(\delta;k)$ corresponds to the eigenvalues of the following problem,

$$\begin{cases} z'' + [k^2 - p(\xi)]z = 0,\ 0 < \xi < \delta; \\ z(0) = 0;\ z(\delta) = 0; \end{cases} \tag{3.20}$$

the zeros of $z'(\delta;k)$ corresponds to the eigenvalues of the following problem,

$$\begin{cases} z'' + [k^2 - p(\xi)]z = 0,\ 0 < \xi < \delta; \\ z(0) = 0;\ z'(\delta) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.21}$$

Now we use (3.20) and (3.21) to prove the uniqueness by the following inverse Sturm-Liouville theorem.

Theorem 3.3 (McLaughlin [10]) *We consider the following Sturm-Liouville problem*

$$z'' + (k^2 - q)z = 0,\ 0 < \xi < 1; \tag{3.22}$$

$$z(0) = z(1) = 0, \tag{3.23}$$

where $q \in L^2(0,1)$. For another boundary condition,

$$z(0) = z'(1) = 0. \tag{3.24}$$

Suppose q_1, $q_2 \in L^2(0,1)$ and, $\lambda_n(q_1) = \lambda_n(q_2)$, the eigenvalues to (3.22) and (3.23), $\mu_n(q_1) = \mu_n(q_2)$, the eigenvalues to (3.22) and (3.24), $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $q_1 \equiv q_2$, a.e.

Therefore, in our case, $p^1(\xi) \equiv p^2(\xi)$.

Finally, we apply the argument in [9]. Both function $[n^i]^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $i = 1, 2$, when regarding as function of ξ^i, satisfies the following equations:

$$\{[n^i]^{\frac{1}{4}}\}'' - p^1(\xi)[n^i]^{\frac{1}{4}} = 0,\ 0 < \xi < \delta^1; \tag{3.25}$$

$$[n^i(\delta^1)]^{\frac{1}{4}} = [n^1(\delta^1)]^{\frac{1}{4}}, \tag{3.26}$$

$$\{[n^i(\delta^1)]^{\frac{1}{4}}\}' = \frac{1}{4}[n^1(\delta^1)]^{-\frac{5}{4}} n_r^1(1), \tag{3.27}$$

where (3.26) and (3.27) are assumptions. By the uniqueness of ODE, this implies that $n^1 \equiv n^2$. $\square$

References

[1] T. Aktosun, D. Gintides and V.G. Papanicolaou, The uniqueness in the inverse problem for transmission eigenvalues for the spherically symmetric variable-speed wave equation, Inverse Problems, v.27, 115004(2011).

[2] M.L. Cartwright, On the directions of Borel of functions which are regular and of finite order in an angle, Proc. London Math. Soc. ser.2 vol.38, 503-541(1933).

[3] M.L. Cartwright, Integral functions, Cambridge University Press, 1956.

[4] D. Colton, L. Päivärinta and J. Sylvester, The interior transmission problem, Inverse problems and imaging, V.1, N.1, 13-28(2007).

[5] D. Colton and R. Kress, Inverse acoustic and electromagnetic scattering theory, 2nd ed. Applied mathemtical science, v.93, Springer-Verlag, 1998.

[6] Y.-J. Leung and D. Colton, Complex transmission eigenvalues for spherically stratified media, Inverse problems, v.28, 075005, 2012.

[7] B. Ja. Levin, Distribution of zeros of entire functions, revised edition, Translations of mathematical mongraphs, American mathemtical society, 1972.

[8] B. Ja. Levin, Lectures on entire functions, Translation of mathematical monographs, V.150, AMS, 1996.

[9] J.R. McLaughlin and P.L. Polyakov, On the uniqueness of a spherically symmetric speed of sound from transmission eigenvalues, Jour. Differentical Equations, 107, 351-382(1994).

[10] J.R. McLaughlin, Inverse spectral theory using nodal points as data-a uniqueness result, Jour. Differentical Equations, 73, 354-362(1988).

[11] J. Pöschel and E. Trubowitz, Inverse spectral theory, Academic Press, Orlando, 1987.